



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/640,963	08/16/2000	Aki Shohara	020669-00200US	3410
23363	7590	06/24/2005	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			TRAN, KHANH C	
PO BOX 7068			ART UNIT	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			PAPER NUMBER	
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/640,963	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHOHARA, AKI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Khanh Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-42 and 44-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 43 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Amendment filed on 04/11/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-45 are pending in this Office action.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27, 31, 34-35 and 40-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 21, 26-27, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laskowski U.S. Patent 5,566,189.

Regarding claim 21, Laskowski invention is directed to a circuit and method for puncturing data on the transmission side of a digital communications system. In column 3, lines 29-67, figure 2 illustrates a puncturing circuit 18a including a delay circuit 30, a multiplexer circuit 32, and a control circuit 42 implemented as a counter 44 and a decoder 46.

Laskowski does not expressly teach the claimed step of compressing a puncture mask. However, in column 2, lines 20-30, Laskowski further teaches the decoder, as part of the puncturing circuit 18a, is designed to decode a predetermined pattern and rate. The decoder can be further a programmable device, which would allow the desired puncture pattern and rate to be stored into the decoder. In light of the foregoing disclosure, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the predetermined puncture pattern as taught in Laskowski invention can be modified to compress and stored in the decoder in compressed form. Motivation is discussed in the background of Laskowski invention that prior method of puncturing and de-puncturing data includes the use of logic circuitry, such as shift registers, to store the entire puncture pattern. Laskowski further recognizes that storing the entire puncture pattern in shift registers or similar components requires a relatively large amount of logic circuitry, particularly when the puncture pattern long. The puncture pattern is inherently a series of bits, each bit being associated with an encoded data bit for determining whether the encoded data bit is to be transmitted.

As recited above, the decoder 46 can be further a programmable device, which would allow the desired puncture pattern and rate to be stored into the decoder. The decoder 46 is a conventional logic unit. Hence, the compressed puncture pattern is stored electronically as claimed in the application claim. The puncture pattern corresponds to the claimed puncture mask.

Regarding claim 26, as recited above, the decoder 46 is a conventional logic unit. Hence, the compressed puncture pattern is stored electronically in a semiconductor memory.

Regarding claim 27, in addition to the rejection argument of claim 21, Laskowski does not expressly teach the claimed step of retrieving a compressed puncture mask from a semiconductor. However, as recited in claim 21, Laskowski teaches the decoder 46, as part of the puncturing circuit 18a, is designed to decode a predetermined pattern and rate; see column 3, lines 45-60. The decoder 46 can be further a programmable device, which would allow *the desired puncture pattern and rate to be stored into the decoder*. Hence, in view of that, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the predetermined puncture pattern as taught in Laskowski invention can be modified to compress and stored in the decoder in compressed form. The decoder 46 retrieves and decodes the desired puncturing pattern and rate. Furthermore, in column 2, lines 35-50, the puncturing circuit is easily designed for a variety of puncture patterns and rates using computer-aided circuit. The puncture pattern and rate can be easily changed by simply redesigning and replacing the decoder. Hence, that addresses the claimed step of "the compressed puncture mask being generated according to a compression mechanism that compresses puncture mask data".

The decoder is designed to decode the predetermined puncture pattern; see column 2, lines 20-30. The foregoing teachings correspond to the claimed step of "decompressing the compressed puncture mask to generate the puncture mask data".

The decoder controls the multiplexers 38, 40 and selects which inputs appear on I and Q according to a predetermined puncture pattern; see column 3, lines 35-45, and figure 2.

Regarding claim 32, Laskowski does not expressly teach the claimed limitation "a bit in the decompressed puncture mask having a first polarity results in a first corresponding bit in the data sequence being deleted, and a bit in the decompressed puncture mask having a second polarity results in a second corresponding bit in the data sequence not being deleted". Laskowski discusses in the background of the invention that puncturing takes data out of the error corrected data stream in a predetermined puncture pattern applied at a predetermined puncture rate. As known in the art, a value of "0" in the puncture pattern indicates that the bit is to be deleted, and a value of "1" in the puncture pattern indicates that the bit is to be transmitted. In view of that a value of "0" in the puncture pattern corresponds to the first polarity and a value of "1" in the puncture pattern corresponds to the second polarity

Regarding claim 34, claim 34 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 27 because of similar scope. Furthermore, as recited in claim 27, in column 2, lines 35-50,

Art Unit: 2631

the puncturing circuit is easily designed for a variety of puncture patterns and rates using computer-aided circuit. The puncture pattern and rate can be easily changed by simply redesigning and replacing the decoder. Laskowski does not expressly teach the puncture circuit in figure 2 stores a plurality of compressed masks. Nevertheless, because decoder 46 can store compressed puncture pattern, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to store a plurality of puncture patterns. Motivation is to have more flexibility on the rate by varying different puncture patterns.

4. Claims 22-25, 28-31, 35-39, 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laskowski U.S. Patent 5,566,189 as applied to claims 21 and 34 above, and further in view of Li U.S. Patent 6,385,752 B1.

Regarding claims 22 and 28, Laskowski does not teach employing puncture pattern of any particular length.

Li invention is directed to an improved method of puncturing a convolutionally encoded bit stream provided for specific examples consisting of PCS-4 and PCS-5, these being encoding schemes provided in accordance with the EDGE standard. For PCS-5 in one case, the blocks to be punctured have a size of  $L=2422$ , and said blocks need to be punctured such that  $M=1384$  bits remain. In view of that the length of the puncture mask is more than 1000 bits. Laskowski invention differs from Li invention in that Laskowski teachings do not disclose any particular length of the puncture pattern. However, Laskowski

expresses that the puncture circuit is easily designed for a variety of puncture patterns; see column 2, lines 35-45. Furthermore, Laskowski recognizes that storing the entire puncture pattern in shift registers or similar components requires relatively large amount of logic circuitry, particularly when the puncture pattern is long. In view of the foregoing discussion, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Laskowski puncture circuit can be modified to accommodate long puncture patterns, e.g. more than 1000 bits, as taught in Li invention.

Regarding claims 23, 29 and 36-37, claims 23, 29 and 36-37 are rejected on the same ground as for claim 22 because of similar scope.

Regarding claims 24, 30 and 38, Li invention applies to encoding schemes in accordance with existing EDGE standards for PCS-4 and PCS-5.

Regarding claims 25, 31 and 39, as taught in column 2, lines 20-50, see also figure 2, the decoder 46 can be programmable device, which would allow the desired puncture pattern and rate to be stored or programmed into the decoder 46. Furthermore, the puncture circuit is designed for a variety of puncture patterns and rates. In view of that, storing at least 30 puncture masks would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art.



Regarding claim 35, Laskowski does not teach the puncture circuit further comprising circuitry for wireless communications. Nevertheless, as well known in the art, puncture circuit is utilized on the transmission circuit to reduce the data rate due to error correction that increases bandwidth requirements of the transmission medium. Therefore, Laskowski puncture circuit can be implemented into Li transmitter as shown in figure 1. The transmitter in figure 1 is a wireless communication transmitter.

Regarding claim 40, the communication system in figure 1 of Li invention includes a receiver 14 wherein the receiver 14 comprises known components, such as a mixer in the down-converting section as appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art, see column 3, lines 20-35 of Li invention.

Regarding claims 41-42, the communication system in figure 1 of Li invention includes a transmitter 12 wherein the transmitter 12 comprises general components, such as a mixer in the up-converting section, a VCO as appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art, see column 3, lines 1-16 of Li invention.

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laskowski U.S. Patent 5,566,189 as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of admitted prior art in the original disclosure and Laskowski U.S. Patent 5,790,566.

Regarding claim 33,

Laskowski discloses in another US Patent '566' a method for de-puncturing data in a receiver. As known in the art, the de-puncturing process is the reverse of the puncturing process, in which puncture patterns are known to the receiver. Similar to US Patent '189', in column 1, lines 20-35, Laskowski discusses problems in prior methods in which storing the entire puncture pattern in shift registers or similar components requires a relatively large amount of logic circuitry, particularly when the puncture pattern is long. In light of the foregoing problem, in column 2, lines 10-25, see also figure 2, the decoder can be a programmable device, which would allow the desired pattern and rate to be stored or programmed into the decoder. Using similar argument as discussed in claim 21, the desired pattern is compressed and stored in the memory of the decoder. Also, in column 2, lines 10-25, the decoder in figure 2 is designed to decode the predetermined pattern sequence. Therefore, referring to figure 2,

- data are read in serially on I and Q path,
- as recited above, the decoder in figure 2 is designed to decode the predetermined sequence one bit at a time according to counter 44, which keeps track of the number of positions in the puncture pattern and rate and communicates to the decoder 46 the current position of the de-puncture pattern.
- Laskowski, however, does not disclose inserting an erasure and not inserting an erasure as set forth in the instant application. Admitted prior art discloses on page 2 of the original disclosure that a zero indicates a position where an erasure is to be inserted, which corresponds to a first polarity, and a one

indicates a position where an erasure is not to be inserted, which corresponds to a second polarity. Since utilizing an erasure is well known in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Laskowski teachings for depuncturing data in the receiver circuitry can be modified to implement in such a way that a zero indicates a position where an erasure is to be inserted and a one indicates a position where an erasure is not to be inserted as taught in prior art.

6. Claims 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laskowski U.S. Patent 5,566,189 as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Abe et al. U.S. Patent 6,693,889 B1.

Regarding claim 44, Laskowski does not teach the claimed step of generating an intermediate puncture mask based on the puncture mask.

Abe et al. discloses a multiple puncturing pattern generator as shown in figure 2A. In column 7 line 55 via column 8 line 20, a matrix converter 202 outputs a plurality of puncturing patterns by converting a row, a column or matrix elements of the reference matrix 201a according to a predetermined process. Abe et al. further teaches the system is able to save a memory capacity by storing only one matrix as a reference to generate a plurality of puncturing patterns. Laskowski and Abe et al. inventions are in the same field of endeavor, and both teachings are designed not to store long puncture patterns to save memory. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Laskowski puncture circuit can be modified to

Art Unit: 2631

include Abe et al. teachings. In view of that the reference matrix can be compressed and stored in the decoder as shown in figure 2 of Laskowski invention. Furthermore, reference matrix generator 201 generates a reference matrix according to a predetermined pattern matrix.

Regarding claim 45, with the combining teachings as discussed in claim 44, the decoder 46 of Laskowski invention decodes the reference matrix, which is used to output one of the puncturing patterns.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Regarding claim 1, claim is directed to a method of compressing puncture mask information. Claim is allowed over prior art of record because the cited prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, cannot teach or suggest the claimed method as set forth in the claim.

8. Claims 8-18 are allowed.

Regarding claim 8, claim is directed to a method of decompressing and using a puncture mask. Claim is allowed over prior art of record because the cited prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, cannot teach or suggest the claimed method as set forth in the claim.

8. Claims 19-20 are allowed.

Regarding claim 19, claim is directed to a code puncture apparatus. Claim is allowed over prior art of record because the cited prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, cannot teach or suggest the claimed code puncture apparatus comprising a run length decoder, a differential operator, and a puncture mask register as set forth in the claim.

9. Claim 43 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCT

*Khanh Cong Tran*

*06/22/2005*

Examiner KHANH TRAN